

Q11. How worthwhile were the breakout sessions?

fraud scheme cases.

50	I could not attend the breakouts due to a court appearance.	Aug 6, 2013 1:18 PM
51	Emotional Survival was great.	Aug 6, 2013 1:18 PM
52	I liked the two I attended.	Aug 6, 2013 1:17 PM
53	Very disappointing selection. I went to Gilmartin cuz I enjoy listening to him.	Aug 6, 2013 1:17 PM
54	New topics are needed.	Aug 6, 2013 1:15 PM
55	The DUI breakout session with Beth Barnes is always incredibly informative. Beth is an invaluable resource for those of us who do a lot of DUI prosecution. The Prosecutor Encyclopedia breakout session was informative, but I need to obtain a login and spend time on the website to gain a better appreciation for how it will assist me and my cohorts.	Aug 6, 2013 1:14 PM
56	See comment under #7.	Aug 6, 2013 1:13 PM
57	Beth Barnes class is always great with a ton of information. William J. Fitzpatrick's presentation was good to hear to give that 'pep' talk as to why being a prosecutor is important.	Aug 6, 2013 1:06 PM
58	I particularly enjoyed "Emotional Survival." I think APAAC might consider bringing this presenter for a regular time slot in next year's conference. Many of the people I know who especially need to hear what he has to say would never select his breakout session! Again, I was disappointed that so many of the breakout sessions were 're-treads.'	Aug 6, 2013 1:00 PM
59	Kevin Gilmartin should be brought back for everyone to see. He has tremendous insight into problems prosecutors develop in their lives that need to be addressed.	Aug 6, 2013 12:57 PM
60	I was a little disappointed in the "Spice" presentation. There was not a lot of new, useful information presented.	Aug 6, 2013 12:51 PM
61	Both the insanity defense and prosecutor's encyclopedia sessions were useful.	Aug 6, 2013 12:50 PM
62	I appreciate that there are classes that are appropriate for misdemeanors.	Aug 6, 2013 12:49 PM
63	had to go back tot he office	Aug 6, 2013 12:48 PM

Q12. Please rate the usefulness of the following presentations:

1	Cross examination seemed very repetitive and not as useful as I think it could be. Would like to see more specifics instead of just the basics. Very entertaining presentation though!	Sep 4, 2013 2:27 PM
2	I didn't feel that the YouTube videos were a worthwhile use of time in the Search & Seizure presentation.	Aug 26, 2013 11:05 PM
3	Christina was wonderful - as always!	Aug 22, 2013 3:44 PM
4	Love it when Christina comes back for the S&S updates...her outline is my go-to reference for motions to suppress!	Aug 22, 2013 3:37 PM
5	I really liked hearing the war stories of the cross examination guys, but they pretty much skipped over and barely discussed their topic.	Aug 19, 2013 4:24 PM
6	Cross examine presentation was entertaining but not professionally useful.	Aug 19, 2013 11:08 AM
7	I got sick and was not able to attend the banquet or the afternoon presentations on Thursday. (I experienced extreme stomach pain and vomiting.)	Aug 15, 2013 12:58 PM
8	Loved three out of four. They presented in an effective manner and with great content. I personally enjoyed the Sandusky speakers but not much value to put into practice.	Aug 14, 2013 2:47 PM
9	Excellent presenters, thanks very much.	Aug 13, 2013 4:39 PM
10	The topics and presentations for this summer conference were the best of the conferences I have attended for several years.	Aug 13, 2013 2:49 PM
11	Getting speakers who worked the Penn State case was a great idea. Christina Cabanillas is a super star. Her materials are a great resource when addressing suppression issues.	Aug 13, 2013 8:51 AM
12	Cabanillas is always a favorite and we use her outline all year long.	Aug 13, 2013 8:40 AM
13	There should have been ethics hours offered.	Aug 12, 2013 12:05 PM
14	It was great to have Cabanillas back!	Aug 12, 2013 10:17 AM
15	The legal admissibility of Loftus [cited for much of the research on memory and eyewitness ID] is significant and not addressed. Loftus has not had much success in being allowed to testify [always for the defense] on eyewitness identification in AZ Courts.	Aug 12, 2013 10:07 AM
16	War stories are not a substitution for practice tips, although they may be used to illustrate how to do something.	Aug 9, 2013 4:07 PM
17	I thought that the information presented re: eyewitness identification was really interesting and will be useful in preparing for defense challenges. Weapon focus and stress related memory was excellent. Likewise, I thought the search & seizure update was great and the materials are always kept as a quick reference on my desk.	Aug 9, 2013 1:09 PM
18	The PA prosecutors were entertaining but it was more war stories than anything.	Aug 9, 2013 9:45 AM

Q12. Please rate the usefulness of the following presentations:

19	Cross examination was entertaining but mostly war stories and pretty basic stuff.	Aug 9, 2013 9:19 AM
20	I loved all of the main sessions. I only wish that we had some Ethics CLE hours.	Aug 8, 2013 5:15 PM
21	Unfortunately, I cannot rate the eyewitness identification presentation or the search and seizure presentation. I was not able to attend them.	Aug 8, 2013 10:34 AM
22	The eyewitness ID was totally unnecessary. You guys should consult with us about issues we see often on appeal, and then design presentations around those issues so trial lawyers learn (as they make up the vast majority of attendees).	Aug 7, 2013 5:28 PM
23	I thought the Cross Examination presentation would give us more tips. Maybe because I'm a seasoned prosecutor and was a little bored at that time, I wasn't as interested in their presentation.	Aug 7, 2013 5:13 PM
24	Fitzpatrick & McGettigan difficult to understand due to their manner of speaking.	Aug 7, 2013 2:17 PM
25	Christina Cabanillas was entertaining but I could have just reviewed the materials	Aug 7, 2013 1:10 PM
26	The search and seizure presentation was too long. The materials, however, are invaluable.	Aug 7, 2013 9:29 AM
27	The eyewitness identification portion didn't have much helpful law. It was much more of a fluff piece on the dangers of eyewitness identification. The Cross examination portion would have been more helpful with concrete tools rather than so much anecdote. Joseph McGettigan was impossible to hear as well. His voice dipped continually in a way that the microphone didn't really pick up. Cabanillas was great as always and provided very useful materials.	Aug 7, 2013 9:13 AM
28	McGettigan, Fina had a lot of war stories. Some are all right, but a bit heavy on them. We all have war stories we can tell.	Aug 7, 2013 7:39 AM
29	Excellent presentations across the board.	Aug 6, 2013 5:46 PM
30	Great presenters this year!	Aug 6, 2013 5:38 PM
31	An awful lot of time is allotted to Christina Cabanillas. While the videos are entertaining, they take up way too much time. It seems like her time could be cut in half if she didn't have the videos. They do break things up, but there were A LOT.	Aug 6, 2013 5:12 PM
32	It was good to have Chris Cabanillas back!!!	Aug 6, 2013 4:51 PM
33	I would have preferred to hear about the Sandusky trial. McGettigan and Fina were extremely entertaining, but didn't provide any information that I could use. Cabanillas...just way too long for that topic.	Aug 6, 2013 3:38 PM
34	I liked McGettigan and Fina, but it was more about warstories than the principles of cross examination. Cabby is always good . . . not sure of the utility of the materials in a presentation form (versus just reading her materials).	Aug 6, 2013 2:51 PM
35	Keynote speaker dynamic and passionate Wanted more time on the Eyewitness	Aug 6, 2013 2:38 PM

Q12. Please rate the usefulness of the following presentations:

	ID--too much info for the allotted period--compelling 60 Mins story Cross exam was just war stories--interesting but not really educational S/S great	
36	They all were excellent!	Aug 6, 2013 2:31 PM
37	You should give Judge Jones more time. He always runs out and has to rush at the end AND then we miss out on good information from him	Aug 6, 2013 2:27 PM
38	I still strongly urge that next year one of the main sessions be devoted to wrongful convictions. I would be more than willing to help with it.	Aug 6, 2013 2:17 PM
39	I really enjoyed Mr. Fitzpatrick. I thought his keynote was right on point and appropriate for the audience. He was a pleasure to listen to. I also really enjoyed Mr. McGettigan's story's. Any Panther story is always appreciated.	Aug 6, 2013 2:01 PM
40	As usual Ms. Cabanillas on target.	Aug 6, 2013 1:57 PM
41	Cross-examination session too basic. And more war stories than substantive content.	Aug 6, 2013 1:54 PM
42	It would be great if we could get a book of the Search and Seizure/Confession information.	Aug 6, 2013 1:54 PM
43	Really excellent speakers this year! They were very entertaining and informative.	Aug 6, 2013 1:46 PM
44	All of the presenters were exceptional! It was great to have the two prosecutors fresh off of the Sandusky trial. Top notch presentations. Thank you for the exposure.	Aug 6, 2013 1:36 PM
45	This conference seems to be geared toward the younger, more inexperienced, trial prosecutor. As an experienced appellate attorney, I didn't feel like the presentation on cross-examination was useful at all. In addition, I've heard Chris Cabanillas Search & Seizure & Confessions presentation a number of times, and while it's useful to receive an updated outline, the actual presentation is somewhat repetitive. I enjoyed the Eyewitness Identification presentation, but even that seemed vaguely familiar, like I've seen the video clips before this summer. However, the movement of the speaker (Honorable Michael D. Jones) made the presentation more effective (versus those presentations where the speaker just stood at the podium).	Aug 6, 2013 1:34 PM
46	All very engaging speakers. I learned some helpful information. I always love Chris Cabanillas' presentation.	Aug 6, 2013 1:25 PM
47	The Cross Examination presentation was extremely hard to follow. I appreciated the war stories from interesting cases in New York; however, did not walk away with much information regarding conducting a successful cross examination. Perhaps the speakers would be more informative presenting a topic about trial strategy or handling high profile cases.	Aug 6, 2013 1:24 PM
48	Too bored by opening remarks on Wednesday, so I left early and missed the Fitzpatrick presentation, which sounded like insubstantial fluff. Top marks to Ms. Cabanillas on all fronts (materials, knowledge, presentation). Mr. McGettigan	Aug 6, 2013 1:24 PM

Q12. Please rate the usefulness of the following presentations:

	and Mr. Fina were not helpful. War stories do not amount to a presentation worth having. All I learned is that Pennsylvania judges seem to have ethical problems.	
49	I was gratified that all of the speakers were lively rather than coma-inducing (as has occasionally been the case).	Aug 6, 2013 1:22 PM
50	I thought the woman from Kansas who did a presentation on cross examination last year was much more informative. This was just war stories.	Aug 6, 2013 1:20 PM
51	The Search and Seizure presentation speaker talks too fast. Handouts are handy but more helpful would be having her power point slides where she gives bullet points of what is important.	Aug 6, 2013 1:19 PM
52	Cross Examination was very entertaining. Good speakers. Search and Seizure and Confessions was informative and well presented, but it is difficult to sit through the same presenter/presentation for that long of time. I don't know if breaking it up would make it more or less effective. logistically it is probably simpler for the presenter to do it all in one session.	Aug 6, 2013 1:19 PM
53	Mr. Fitzpatrick and Judge Jones were great. Both gave very informative and dynamic presentations. Mr. McGettigan and Mr. Fina were entertaining, but I feel as though they strayed into too much story telling. I appreciated the substance of Ms. Cabanillas' lecture, however I felt as though she was just going line by line through the handout.	Aug 6, 2013 1:18 PM
54	Loved Fitzpatrick. Motivational. Informational. But why in the heck did we not get any CLE for his presentation??????? Especially ethics? The cross-exam folks were HORRIBLE!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Difficult to understand the one guy and their info was TOTALLY useless. I hope you didn't pay much for them to speak. RE; Cabinallas. Her materials are great. I personally like Chris. But this is yet another time I had to walk out. I don't need to sit through 1.5 hours of youtube videos that are ENTIRELY unrelated to the CLE topic in order to get 1.5 hours of REAL CLE. I feel like I'm committing a fraud by claiming 3 hours of CLE when I sit through her presentations anymore. I'm all for videos as a teaching AID--but not for worthless entertainment. I went back to my room to get work done instead.	Aug 6, 2013 1:17 PM
55	Judge Jones and Christina Cabanillas consistently entertain while presenting loads of useful information. McGettigan and Fina were interesting characters, but, other than listening to a lot of somewhat amusing anecdotes about their accomplishments, I did not gain a lot from it.	Aug 6, 2013 1:14 PM
56	All great presenters! I learned something with every presentation. The presenters were in engaging and funny and informative. And kudos on getting THE Sandusky prosecutors here. Wow. I really enjoyed being able to thank them for a job well done.	Aug 6, 2013 1:09 PM
57	Cross Examination was great to hear the stories of the prosecutors, but they did not teach on how to do an effective cross examination. As a prosecutor I always hear about how bad we are at cross, but when classes are taught you hear about how the prosecutor got lucky during a cross not because they prepared it a certain way. Last years speaker did a great job at starting to teach how to prepare a cross and Rachel Mitchell's class on cross is helpful, but would be	Aug 6, 2013 1:06 PM

Q12. Please rate the usefulness of the following presentations:

	nice if APAAC was able to offer a class similar to the one the NDAA/NAC offers. I hear the NDAA/NAC class is amazing and I was exposed to a portion of it when I attended the Lethal Weapon Course at the NAC.	
58	do not invite McGettigan & Fina back.	Aug 6, 2013 1:03 PM
59	Cross examination was all anecdotes and very little substance. I think you should get someone from NDAA to do crosspoint cross examination.	Aug 6, 2013 1:00 PM
60	I actually would have liked to hear more about Sandusky Trial from McGettigan and Fina.	Aug 6, 2013 12:57 PM
61	Christina is always fun and interesting. Judge Jones did a very good job, weaving in the Leslie Stahl story. There was a lot to learn. Mr. Fitzpatrick and Mssrs. McGettigan and Fina were humorous and told good stories with some useful examples.	Aug 6, 2013 12:55 PM
62	I didn't think that the Cross-Examination presentation was very informative. Mostly it consisted of anecdotes from the Sandusky trial. But the other three presentations were dynamite. Very, very good. Cabanillas did a good job of making boring case law interesting.	Aug 6, 2013 12:51 PM
63	Christina Cabanillas is FANTASTIC!!!	Aug 6, 2013 12:48 PM

Q13. Please rate the usefulness of the following breakout sessions:

Comments		
4	Presenter did a good job on a very narrow topic.	Aug 26, 2013 2:21 PM
6	really wanted to go to this but had to get back to Phoenix Thursday night	Aug 22, 2013 3:37 PM
23	I do these cases for Maricopa County and found this very useful. Jesse is an excellent resource in this area and I will contact her in future.	Aug 9, 2013 9:19 AM
52	Would hate to be defense counsel against this "take no prisoners" prosecutor--great!	Aug 6, 2013 2:38 PM
59	Good job by Jessie!	Aug 6, 2013 1:57 PM
72	Presenter ignored question about depositions that was asked by another attendee and concentrated on one prosecutor's case in the audience to the detriment of the other attendees. Prosecutor was enthusiastic about her subject but seemed unsure of herself as a speaker.	Aug 6, 2013 1:19 PM
Comments		
7	I feel like this training is actually geared towards police officers, not prosecutors.	Aug 22, 2013 2:36 PM
8	The stress of our jobs is an issue hardly addressed and it was refreshing to see that it is an issue that is being recognized and that there are tools available to deal with it.	Aug 21, 2013 9:05 AM
9	I have attended this in the past... was outstanding and should be mandatory training for law enforcement.	Aug 19, 2013 4:24 PM
12	Been to this before, it's terrible.	Aug 14, 2013 6:25 PM
18	Loved this session. Our office has already put some of the advice into practice.	Aug 12, 2013 10:57 AM
20	The information was good, but I wish the presenter would have spent more time focused on techniques and tips to get out of the cycle he demonstrated.	Aug 9, 2013 1:09 PM
25	This was one of the most useful and valuable programs I have ever seen in 90 minutes. I welcome the opportunity to see or read more from this presentor.	Aug 8, 2013 3:02 PM
26	This breakout session was fantastic and extremely helpful.	Aug 8, 2013 12:09 PM
28	Now I just need to make sure I implimet some of his suggestions.	Aug 8, 2013 9:25 AM
29	This felt like a pitch to buy his book, which he sold at the end of his presentation. Offered no solutions, only recognized the problems. I would not attend this presentation again.	Aug 7, 2013 5:28 PM
38	Interesting look at the physiological effects of the job. He needed to spend less time on the causes and more time on the solutions though. Only about the last 5-10 minutes were about what to do to help with the health risks.	Aug 7, 2013 9:13 AM
41	see comments above	Aug 6, 2013 7:29 PM

Q13. Please rate the usefulness of the following breakout sessions:

50	The presenter was incredibly helpful and provided habit change suggestions I've already adopted.	Aug 6, 2013 2:50 PM
53	Humorous and eye opening.	Aug 6, 2013 2:31 PM
56	Excellent presentation	Aug 6, 2013 2:08 PM
59	Good job but didn't provide written materials or power point. Instead Dr. Gilmartin expected us to order or buy his book of which he'd run out of copies. If a presented can't share a simple powerpoint and makes an excuse that he's trying to X amount of hours of lecture into a 1 1/2 hour session, unless he's donating his time, he should have politely shared a bit of his power point which he used - otherwise the ideas were very good	Aug 6, 2013 1:57 PM
61	Bring him back every three to four years. He gets prosecutors, why we are the way we are, and gave very useful tips on how to make us healthier - physically and emotionally.	Aug 6, 2013 1:54 PM
63	I wish we had more time. It was an awesome session.	Aug 6, 2013 1:43 PM
64	I went to the Spice seminar instead of this one.	Aug 6, 2013 1:36 PM
65	Emotional Survival provided new information and discussed concepts that haven't necessarily been addressed by APAAC in previous years. The information was highly useful, but the speaker had an 8 or 9 hour presentation that was crammed into 90 minutes. Thus, what seemed to be missing from the presentation were concrete suggestions on what we could do as prosecutors to reverse the life course/trajectory that we are on simply because the speaker lacked time for the whole presentation. This would be an excellent presentation for all prosecutors to see/hear because this profession, in general, spends very little time discussing the toll that our jobs take on our health and well-being.	Aug 6, 2013 1:34 PM
66	Dr. Gilmartin should have been mandatory for all prosecutors.	Aug 6, 2013 1:30 PM
74	Loved this! Wish it could have been longer.	Aug 6, 2013 1:17 PM
78	I have already asked my office if we could have Dr. Gilmartin in for a full 8-hour course.	Aug 6, 2013 1:09 PM
80	This was one of the best sessions I have attended. I learned alot.	Aug 6, 2013 1:06 PM
84	This was one of the best break out sessions I have ever attended. I would love to hear more and in fact I have ordered the book from this session for members of my staff to read.	Aug 6, 2013 12:53 PM
Comments		
1	I thought this was a very good program - longer would have been better.	Sep 11, 2013 2:24 PM
9	I have attended this training in the past.. it is outstanding.	Aug 19, 2013 4:24 PM
12	not really tailored for an experienced attorney	Aug 14, 2013 6:25 PM
15	Had to miss this seminar as my car battery was beign replaced by AAA at the	Aug 13, 2013 8:25 AM

Q13. Please rate the usefulness of the following breakout sessions:

time.

19	Ms. Nanette has such credibility and is so well networked with other credible people. Told from the trenches. Only drawback, she thought she had to end in an hour when she had 30 minutes left to slow down and cover more ground.	Aug 9, 2013 4:07 PM
20	Great materials, very helpful info!	Aug 9, 2013 1:09 PM
22	Different jurisdictions are an issue. Speaker was great!	Aug 9, 2013 10:22 AM
27	I was not able to attend, but I can say that this is the second year I've heard the voir dire breakout session was disappointing.	Aug 8, 2013 9:25 AM
30	I taught this so not sure how to answer	Aug 7, 2013 5:24 PM
31	Very good refresher on key points to focus on when selecting - or should I say de-selecting...	Aug 7, 2013 4:29 PM
33	The session was not too useful. The obvious was pointed out. I did not learn anything useful.	Aug 7, 2013 3:30 PM
35	Less focus on jury questionnaires which are rare here, and more focus on the specific questions to ask to develop the type of jury that was recommended. Also the materials for this course were incomplete on the APAAC website.	Aug 7, 2013 1:30 PM
37	A couple interesting points, and great access to good voir dire questions.	Aug 7, 2013 9:29 AM
38	I think it is difficult for the presenter to be able to understand the different dynamics outside Maricopa County. Jury selection does not take a week in other places and most judges would not allow it to go that long. Some aspects were still applicable and helpful.	Aug 7, 2013 9:13 AM
39	Very good	Aug 7, 2013 9:05 AM
44	Cindy was great. Great information.	Aug 6, 2013 5:12 PM
45	I am hoping to use this material to increase my voir dire beyond the passive attendance at trial that the courts in Pima County tend to expect of us, because they do so much of the voir dire for us, and don't like the State doing as much. Result: lack of practice means that we are not as good at it as we should be, and we don't talk much about it.	Aug 6, 2013 4:53 PM
53	Excellent materials and presentation. Very practical.	Aug 6, 2013 2:31 PM
55	Cindy Nanetti was an excellent presenter who provided good information and tips in picking a jury. What I really found as a great asset was that she mentioned in the information is a list of 24 topics/subject areas where you can find pertinent questions for voir dire.	Aug 6, 2013 2:13 PM
58	Great tips. I liked the practical applications.	Aug 6, 2013 2:01 PM
62	Was great!	Aug 6, 2013 1:51 PM
75	Very good	Aug 6, 2013 1:15 PM

Q13. Please rate the usefulness of the following breakout sessions:

77	While it was only slightly useful to my practice, the presentation was excellent!	Aug 6, 2013 1:13 PM
Comments		
18	It may have been helpful to have a more substantive session - it was nice to learn about the programs offered - but I would like to know more about the psychology, evaluations, how treatment helps, and how to be more effective at prosecuting juvenile sex cases.	Aug 12, 2013 10:57 AM
32	Even though I litigate capital defendants at the appellate level this was very useful to understand the juvenile system because many of the capital defendants have been part of the juvenile system and present this information in mitigation.	Aug 7, 2013 4:14 PM
54	Very informative--sounds like Juvenile corrections is moving in the correct direction. Not real useful for capital habeas.	Aug 6, 2013 2:17 PM
62	Very informative	Aug 6, 2013 1:51 PM
66	Direct information from adobe's program and providers is very useful. Thank you.	Aug 6, 2013 1:30 PM
Comments		
4	Until the labs can test for the almost unlimited substances, these sessions are very limited. I would rather have a seminar on medical marijuana and the issues surrounding its enforcement. Ex. (1)Does someone actually need a California medical marijuana card or is the medical recommendation enough? (2) Does cannabis qualify as medical marijuana?	Aug 26, 2013 2:21 PM
5	Nothing new presented. I hoped that we would have been given some strategies for successful prosecution absent lab results. That did not take place.	Aug 22, 2013 3:44 PM
10	The presenters were very experienced and capable.	Aug 15, 2013 12:58 PM
13	Very technical (police definitely focus on different things than lawyers), so it was reasonably usefyl even for those who had heard other presentations on the subject.	Aug 14, 2013 7:34 AM
14	Informative, well organized, surprisingly interesting given the dry nature of the subject matter.	Aug 13, 2013 8:51 AM
17	good presentation	Aug 12, 2013 12:05 PM
25	I found this presentation very timely and helpful regarding a new threat to our communities.	Aug 8, 2013 3:02 PM
40	This was covered much better in the advanced DUI course last year. Unfortunately, the prosecutor telling you it can be done doesn't help much. Most offices have already done a few of them. We were looking more for good tactical arguments.	Aug 7, 2013 7:39 AM
42	Information was extremely useful; however, the presentation itself was a bit on the boring side, especially for being a 1 1/2 hour breakout session. Most present in the room were doing other things.	Aug 6, 2013 5:38 PM

Q13. Please rate the usefulness of the following breakout sessions:

44	Very basic overview. The discussion of a Spice DUI was somewhat helpful, but really only seems applicable when you have, essentially, the perfect storm.	Aug 6, 2013 5:12 PM
47	Could have been better. Most of information presented was old info.	Aug 6, 2013 3:23 PM
58	I really enjoyed Officer Hawkins's insight.	Aug 6, 2013 2:01 PM
64	Mostly about DUI's and not prosecuting the spice dealers.	Aug 6, 2013 1:36 PM
68	Great presenters, although it would have been nice to have been instructed on the other synthetics as well.	Aug 6, 2013 1:24 PM
71	I was happy to hear about the updates in the area, and it was useful to hear how the Pima County Attorney won a DUI(A)(1) charge on a spice case.	Aug 6, 2013 1:20 PM
73	Learned a few things. Mostly a review of what we've already heard other places. Prosecutor didn't have much to add and police officer was much too slow in pace.	Aug 6, 2013 1:19 PM
Comments		
3	Every prosecutor should be made aware of this resource, so I'd recommend having a short presentation on this in front of all APAAC attendees.	Aug 26, 2013 11:05 PM
11	I believe this is the wave of the future in litigation. The presenter was personable and lively even though he had taken the red-eye to get to Tucson on time. It would be useful to bring him back to see the advances they will make in the next year or so.	Aug 15, 2013 9:00 AM
12	This could have been a 10 minute presentation.	Aug 14, 2013 6:25 PM
14	Resources offered by the lecturer's company are not available to investigators or Arizona crime labs based on confidentiality issues. The search engine feature for PE appears to be superior to WestLaw and Lexis, but whether or not it is too expensive to use is unknown. If my office gets PE, I'd use it.	Aug 13, 2013 8:51 AM
28	Gave me a much better understanding of this very valuable tool.	Aug 8, 2013 9:25 AM
34	I would strongly recommend that you do not include this session again.	Aug 7, 2013 3:02 PM
45	I joined up in 2010 and have not used it much, but it is impressive, and valuable.	Aug 6, 2013 4:53 PM
48	The trainer was unorganized or if he was organized his organization was not clear to me. I found the presentation disjointed.	Aug 6, 2013 3:17 PM
49	I'm glad you included this breakout session. I was not aware of the prosecutor's encyclopedia program and I think it will be very useful.	Aug 6, 2013 3:14 PM
56	Looks like an top notch resource. Glad I had the opportunity to learn about it,	Aug 6, 2013 2:08 PM
57	I had no idea this existed. The presented did a very good job at making the registration process, etc. understandable.	Aug 6, 2013 2:07 PM
60	Incredible resource!! This should have been a main session--every prosecutor in	Aug 6, 2013 1:54 PM

Q13. Please rate the usefulness of the following breakout sessions:

	Arizona ought to be part of this website!!!!	
63	In a word, "WOW!!!!" What an amazing resource. I am very happy that I attended this session.	Aug 6, 2013 1:43 PM
70	APAAC should do more to share this valuable resource.	Aug 6, 2013 1:22 PM
75	Very good	Aug 6, 2013 1:15 PM
76	Interesting concept. I need to obtain a log in and experiment a bit with their system.	Aug 6, 2013 1:14 PM
82	Presentation was difficult to follow.	Aug 6, 2013 12:59 PM
Comments		
2	Always a good break-out session with a lot of useful information for a city prosecutor that deals with a lot of DUI cases.	Aug 27, 2013 2:17 PM
10	Beth Barnes is terrific. She is so knowledgeable, and can recall relevant court cases right off the top of her head.	Aug 15, 2013 12:58 PM
11	Beth is a professional and prepared presenter. It is always useful to get her insight into issues throughout Arizona. She is always a welcome diversion to the felony-centric matters.	Aug 15, 2013 9:00 AM
13	As expected.	Aug 14, 2013 7:34 AM
17	always helpful.	Aug 12, 2013 12:05 PM
33	Excellent. I always learn so much from Beth. Here sessions are a must.	Aug 7, 2013 3:30 PM
40	Beth is great, as usual.	Aug 7, 2013 7:39 AM
42	It was great to have Ron Skwartz there from DPS this year. Good input.	Aug 6, 2013 5:38 PM
43	Once again Beth does a FANTASTIC job w/ presentation and materials.	Aug 6, 2013 5:19 PM
51	Beth is always great.	Aug 6, 2013 2:47 PM
57	Beth is Beth.	Aug 6, 2013 2:07 PM
60	Beth Barnes is always on top of things!	Aug 6, 2013 1:54 PM
69	This was extremely useful, especially in a City Prosecutor's Office/	Aug 6, 2013 1:22 PM
71	Not as helpful as previous years.	Aug 6, 2013 1:20 PM
73	Beth Barnes: simply one of the best presenters on the subject. Informative and detailed, yet not overwhelming.	Aug 6, 2013 1:19 PM
74	Presupposed a lot of knowledge that we all maybe didn't have. A little more background when talking about cases would help. Also, unpublished opinions and "rulings" from superior courts are not great citation references.	Aug 6, 2013 1:17 PM